

# Supplemental Material: Soft impurity walls and hard-wall branch promotion in a superconducting two-dimensional SSH model

Henry Sheehy<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physical Sciences, University of Kent,  
Canterbury CT2 7NH, United Kingdom*

<sup>2</sup>*QuantaLumin*

(Dated: June 29, 2026)

## I. BDG CONVENTION

The normal-state Hamiltonian is the one given in Eq. (1) of the main text. All numerical calculations use the parameter convention

$$v = 0.6, \quad w = 1.2, \quad t_d = 0.9, \quad (1)$$

with periodic boundary conditions unless an explicit strip diagnostic is being plotted. For the imposed-pairing calculations the Nambu spinor is

$$\Psi = (c_A, c_B, c_A^\dagger, c_B^\dagger)^T, \quad (2)$$

and the local inter-sublattice pairing term is

$$H_\Delta(r) = \Delta_0 c_r^A c_r^B \Delta_0^* c_r^{B\dagger} c_r^{A\dagger}. \quad (3)$$

The reported fixed-pairing results use real positive  $\Delta_0 = 0.3$ . The global BdG eigenvector phase is arbitrary; component plots in the main text fix it by making the largest component real and positive.

## II. WALL PROJECTOR AND SPECTRAL FUNCTION

The scalar wall is a one-cell-thick onsite potential support  $W_\ell$  of length  $\ell$ . The Hamiltonian itself contains only this support. For visualization and branch tracking, the wall-projected spectral weight is evaluated with a projector that includes a two-cell-wide strip around the wall and a two-cell pad along the wall direction. This finite projector prevents the diagnostic from becoming sensitive to a single lattice row, but it does not modify the BdG Hamiltonian.

For a fixed  $k_y$ , BdG eigenpairs  $(E_n, \psi_n)$  are computed and the positive-energy wall-projected spectral function is

$$A(k_y, E) = \sum_{n>0} P_{\text{wall}}^{(n)}(k_y) \frac{\eta_A/\pi}{[E - E_n(k_y)]^2 + \eta_A^2}, \quad \eta_A = 0.08. \quad (4)$$

Here  $P_{\text{wall}}^{(n)}$  is the norm of  $\psi_n$  on the wall projector. The plotted  $A(k_y, E)$  is therefore an intensity diagnostic: its absolute scale depends on the projector and broadening, while the branch positions and spectral-weight redistribution are the robust quantities used in the paper.

### III. HARD-WALL DESCENDANT BRANCH TRACKING

The fixed-pairing branch used in Figs. 6 and 7 of the main text is selected by hard-wall ancestry, not by taking the brightest ridge independently at each wall strength. The checkpoint calculation uses a  $41 \times 41$  torus,  $\ell = 41$ ,  $\Delta_0 = 0.3$ ,  $\mu = \delta\epsilon = 0$ , 241 sampled  $k_y$  values for the plotted branch, and wall strengths

$$V = 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, \dots, 10, 12, 16, 32, 64, 100. \quad (5)$$

The operational branch-selection rule is:

1. At  $V_{\max} = 100$ , choose the positive-energy wall-supported state in the projected Weyl interval  $|k_y|/2\pi \leq 1/3$ .
2. Step downward in  $V$ .
3. At each step, choose the candidate eigenstate with the largest overlap with the previously selected eigenvector.
4. If nearby eigenvalues are nearly degenerate, compare the overlap with a nearby-state subspace rather than only a single vector.
5. Record ambiguity flags and nearby competitors in the checkpoint metadata.

The hard-wall-descendant checkpoint stores ‘overlap\_gap\_tol = 0.04’, ‘degeneracy\_window = 0.04’, ‘subspace\_size = 4’, and ‘seed\_energy\_window = 0.8’. The same calculation records 66 ambiguous continuation entries over the full  $(V, k_y)$  grid. These flags are used as quality diagnostics; they are not interpreted as a phase boundary.

The normalized branch-promotion diagnostic in the main text uses

$$\eta_{\text{hw}}(V) = \frac{|\epsilon_{\text{arc}}(0; V) - \epsilon_{\text{arc}}(0; V_{\min})|}{|\epsilon_{\text{arc}}(0; V_{\max}) - \epsilon_{\text{arc}}(0; V_{\min})|}, \quad V_{\min} = 0.1, \quad V_{\max} = 100. \quad (6)$$

The largest jump in the  $41 \times 41$  production run lies between  $V = 2.5$  and  $V = 3$ . This is used as a finite-device onset window, not as a fitted thermodynamic critical point.

### IV. SELF-CONSISTENT MEAN-FIELD ITERATION

The self-consistent calculations decouple an inter-sublattice attractive channel through

$$\kappa_{AB}(r) = \langle c_r^A c_r^B \rangle, \quad \Delta_{AB}(r) = g_{AB} \kappa_{AB}(r). \quad (7)$$

The production wall-feedback checkpoints use

$$g_{AB} = 2.9249, \quad \mu = 0, \quad \delta\epsilon = 0.1, \quad (8)$$

on a  $41 \times 41$  torus with a full wall. The fixed-point update is linearly mixed,

$$\Delta_{AB}^{(n+1)} = (1 - \eta_{\text{mix}})\Delta_{AB}^{(n)} + \eta_{\text{mix}}\Delta_{AB}^{\text{new},(n)}, \quad \eta_{\text{mix}} = 0.35. \quad (9)$$

The checkpoint set reports the final residual after a fixed budget of 80 iterations. The residuals in the main-text table are therefore reproducibility diagnostics, not claims of exact fixed-point convergence to machine precision.

The spectral deformation atlas in the main text uses the interpolating field

$$\Delta_{AB}^{(\lambda)}(r) = (1 - \lambda)\Delta_0 + \lambda\Delta_{AB}^{\text{SCMFT}}(r; V), \quad \lambda = 0, 0.5, 1. \quad (10)$$

Thus  $\lambda = 0$  reproduces the fixed- $\Delta_0$  reference at the same wall strength, while  $\lambda = 1$  uses the relaxed SCMFT field.

## V. FINITE-SIZE AND CONSISTENCY CHECKS

A thermodynamic-transition interpretation was tested and rejected using three checks. First, a branch-promotion diagnostic was extracted from the  $41 \times 41$  tracked branch. Second, branch-aware emergence scans were compared across  $L = 31, 41, 51, 61, 71$ . Third, a hard-wall-character diagnostic was evaluated for

$$L = 21, 31, 41, 51, 61, 71, 81, 91, 101, 121, 141. \quad (11)$$

The stored finite-size diagnostic reports:

window scan rejects critical exponent: beta spread=0.56,  $V_c$  spread=9.4; QCP  
not identified: require stable branch-character collapse and thermodynamic gap  
scaling.

The paper therefore uses the conservative language of finite-device branch promotion.

## VI. CODE AND DATA PROVENANCE

The calculations and figure generation are implemented in the QuLab SSH research module [1]. The primary cached data files used for the publication figures are:

- `ssh_2d_fixed_delta_publication_atlas.npz`,
- `ssh_2d_weyl_arc_tracking.npz`,
- `ssh_2d_arc_transfer_qcp_assessment.npz`,
- `ssh_2d_scmft_boundary_stability.npz`,
- `scmft_interpolation_panels/ados/*.npz`.

The main text reports compact numerical slices of these data; the repository retains the full cached grids and omitted diagnostic figures.

- 
- [1] H. Sheehy, Qulab research module for two-dimensional ssh soft walls (2026), repository module: `qulab.research.ssh_2d`.